NIH -- W1 SK582

PAMELA GEHRON ROBEY

CSDB/NIDR/NIH Bldng 30 Rm 228 30 CONVENT DRIVE MSC 4320 BETHESDA, MD 20892

ATTN: SUBMITTED: 2001-12-14 11:08:51 PHONE: 301-496-4563 PRINTED: 2001-12-18 09:19:31

FAX: 301-402-0824 REQUEST NO.: NIH-10091527 E-MAIL: SENT VIA: LOAN DOC

5296791

NIH Fiche to Paper Journal

TITLE: SKELETAL RADIOLOGY
PUBLISHER/PLACE: Springer Verlag Berlin

VOLUME/ISSUE/PAGES: 1998 May;27(5):278-82 278-82

DATE: 1998

AUTHOR OF ARTICLE: Cabral CE; Guedes P; Fonseca T; Rezende JF; Cruz Junior LC;

TITLE OF ARTICLE: Polyostotic fibrous dysplasia associated with intr

ISSN: 0364-2348

OTHER NOS/LETTERS: Library reports holding volume or year

7701953 9638839 PubMed

SOURCE: PubMed
CALL NUMBER: W1 SK582
REQUESTER INFO: AB424

DELIVERY: E-mail: probey@DIR.NIDCR.NIH.GOV

REPLY: Mail:

NOTICE: THIS MATERIAL MAY BE PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW (TITLE 17, U.S. CODE)

-----National-Institutes-of-Health,-Bethesda,-MD------

Carlos Eduardo Lassance Cabral Pedro Guedes Therezinha Fonseca José Francisco Rezende Luiz Celso Cruz Jr. Julius Smith

Polyostotic fibrous dysplasia associated with intramuscular myxomas: Mazabraud's syndrome

Presented at the 24th Annual Closed Meeting of the International Skeletal Society, September 6–9, 1997, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

C.E. Lassance Cabral, M.D. (☒)
P. Guedes, M.D. · L. Celso Cruz Jr., M.D.
J. Smith, M.D.
Department of Radiology,
Instituto Nacional de Câncer (INCA),
Praça Cruz Vermelha, 23/3° andar,
Centro, Rio de Janeiro/RJ,
Brazil 20.230–130

T. Fonseca, M.D. Department of Surgical Pathology, Instituto Nacional de Câncer (INCA), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil J.F. Rezende, M.D. Department of Surgery, Instituto Nacional de Câncer (INCA), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Abstract Mazabraud's syndrome, though uncommon, is reported increasingly frequently. It represents an entity readily recognisable radiologically on MR imaging. Awareness of the syndrome, particularly when the myxoma is solitary, can prevent misdiagnosis of intramuscular myxomas (especially when large) as malignant mesenchymal tumors containing myxoid tissue. We review the 34 cases previously reported in the

literature and include a recent case from our center.

Key words Fibrous dysplasia · Soft tissue tumor · Intramuscular myxoma · MRI · Mazabraud's syndrome

Introduction

Intramuscular myxomas are uncommon benign solitary tumors of large muscle groups, occurring predominantly in the lower limbs. Very much less common are the myxomas that may be associated with fibrous dysplasia (usually polyostotic), which are frequently multiple.

This association is now known as the Mazabraud syndrome. We report the case of a patient from our center, which is only the 35th in the literature.

Case report

A 44-year-old white man, a native of Rio de Janeiro, had noted a slowly growing painless mass in his right thigh for 15 years. Since it did not bother him, and it did not interfere with his work as a truck driver, he never consulted a physician. When he sought to change his occupation, he underwent a pre-employment physical. The large thigh mass was noted, and also a bony mass in relation to his upper ribs. Enlarged nodes were also present in his right supraclavicular fossa. He was referred to a local hospital, where he underwent several biopsies. He was then sent to our center for further evaluation.


On examination he was found to be in good general health and showed no evidence of recent weight loss. The chest showed a large scar posteriorly, where the third and fourth ribs had been resected, and also a scar in his right supraclavicular area, where excisional biopsy of several nodes had been performed. The right thigh showed a non-tender rubbery mass measuring approximately 25×12 cm in the antero-medial aspect of the thigh, with a biopsy scar.


The patient underwent bone scintigraphy with technetium MDP, which this revealed multiple areas of increased uptake limited to the right half of the body – skull, upper ribs, pelvis, femur, and tibia. Chest radiographs and CT scans showed partial resection of two ribs and also characteristic expansion of several ribs, typical of fibrous dysplasia. A soft tissue plain film of the thigh showed a very large soft tissue mass and the typical findings of fibrous dysplasia – expansion of the femur with patchy areas of sclerosis and lucency (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1 Anteroposterior plain film of right femur, showing expansion of medullary cavity with cortical thickening and patchy sclerosis, typical of fibrous dysplasia

Fig. 2 A Coronal T1-weighted MR image (TR 320; TE 25). Multiple well-defined masses (hypointense) are demonstrated in the right thigh. B Axial T1-weighted MR image (TR 580; TE 23) shows bulky mass in the region of the vastus intermedius. C Axial T2-weighted MR image (TR 5100; TE 125) reveals marked hyperintensity of the largest mass and a mass on the lateral aspect as well

Fig. 3 A The cut surface of two masses show the typical glistening slimy surface of a myxoma. The masses were well encapsulated and showed small fluid-filled cystic spaces. B High-power section showed the tumor to be composed of small numbers of inconspicuous cells. There was a loose network of reticulin fibers. The small cells were hyperchromatic with pyknotic nuclei and scanty cytoplasm





MR scans (Fig. 2), including axial and coronal T1-weighted and T2-weighted images, were obtained. These studies revealed multiple masses in the right thigh. The largest, in relation to the vastus intermedius, measured 20 cm. The masses were hypointense to muscle on T1 weighting but were markedly intense on T2 weighting. The underlying femur was expanded and showed hypointensity on T1 weighting and hyperintensity on T2 weighting.

The findings were characteristic of myxoma, and the grossly abnormal femur made this a very typical case of Mazabraud's syndrome. Review of all the submitted material confirmed the fibrous dysplasia in the resected ribs without evidence of malignancy. (A frozen section of the ribs performed elsewhere had been thought to reveal a low-grade osteosarcoma in addition to the fibrous dysplasia.) The supraclavicular nodes showed simple reactive changes. The biopsy of the soft tissue mass was thought to be a simple myxoma.

The patient underwent excision of the two masses on the medial aspect of the thigh. The multiple masses on the opposite side of the thigh were not resected.

The surgical specimen revealed two masses (Fig. 3A). The very large one measured 20.0 cm. The smaller one measured 5.0 cm. The tumors were both encapsulated, and on section were glistening and slimy and revealed a whitish gray appearance with small fluid-filled cystic spaces. Histologically (Fig. 3B) the specimen showed a tumor that was composed of relatively small numbers of inconspicuous cells, abundant mucoid material, and a loose network of reticulin fibers. The cells were small with hyperchromatic pyknotic nuclei and scanty cytoplasm. There was no cellular pleomorphism, mitosis, or multinucleated giant cells.

Mature collagen fibers were seen occasionally. At the periphery, the tumor merged into surrounding muscle, fat cells and atrophic muscle fibers. Immunohistochemistry revealed

that the cells stained with vimentin but not with desmin or \$100 protein and desmin.

About 9 months after surgery the patient returned for follow-up. The masses on the lateral aspect of the thigh, which had not been removed, were unchanged and no new masses were found. MR confirmed the clinical findings.

Discussion

Although first described by Henschen [1] in 1926, it was Mazabraud [2] who, in reporting his second case in 1967, emphasized that despite there being only nine cases to date, the association was not fortuitous but represented a real entity and an association with fibrous dysplasia of bone. Earlier authors had believed that the finding was related to neurofibromatosis. In fact the patient reported in 1967 had been referred to Mazabraud as a case of neurofibromatosis. Mazabraud emphasised that the myxoma was not related to neurofibromatosis. There is no familial incidence and it is not inherited.

All the early reports were in the European literature, with the first report in English being by Wirth et al. [3] in 1971. Awareness of the entity is important because the myxoma may be quite large, and differentiating this completely benign lesion from malignant mesenchymal tumors with large amounts of myxomatous material, particularly liposarcoma, may be difficult. Although in some cases of this syndrome, malignancy, especially liposarcoma, was reported (or suspected) no amputations were performed, but there were several cases with extensive resections. No malignancy has ever been reported in the myxoma of Mazabraud's syndrome. An extensive review of reported cases is tabulated in Table 1 [4-19]. (Not included in this review is a case mentioned in Mietenen and co-workers' paper [20], for which no clinical details were provided.)

Intramuscular myxoma grows slowly and is usually quite painless. However, in seven patients pain was a significant symptom. It is a disease of adults and has never been reported in children, the youngest patient being 17 [3]. It is approximately twice as common in women than men (23 vs 12). The mean age was 46 years. The tumors are not usually very large, the largest being 25 cm (case no. 18) [7]. The average size was 5 cm. The most common single site was the right thigh (63%). The remainder of the cases were distributed between right and left thighs, buttocks, arms, shoulders, and chest

The myxomas tend to develop in groups or clusters. In the majority of cases they are multiple (see Table), but in ten cases the myxoma, at the time of reporting, was solitary. It is easy to overlook small myxomas and, since many cases were reported prior to the availability of MRI, small myxomas may have been missed. Recurrences are uncommon and occurred in only two patients (16, 27) [5, 14]. Differentiating recurrent tumors from new tumors in the same anatomical area may be difficult.

The fibrous dysplasia was multiple in most cases, but in seven patients it was solitary (see Table). Albright's syndrome and formes frustes were found in ten patients. Fibrous dysplasia usually develops in childhood or early adult life, and therefore clearly precedes the development of myxoma by years or even decades. Patients, however, may be unaware that they have this bony disease and may only be diagnosed at the time they present with myxoma. This occurred in seven patients. The polyostotic lesions may be distributed among multiple bones bilaterally (27 patients), but were unilateral in 16 patients. In only two patients (cases 20 and 25) were the fibrous dysplasia and the myxoma solitary [9, 12].

The most serious complication of fibrous dysplasia is osteosarcoma,

Table 1 Mazabraud's syndrome: reported cases

Henschen [1]		Author	Year	Fibrous dysplasia polyostotic?	Myxoma multiple?	Age (years)
2 Krogius 1928 Yes Yes 26 3 Uclinger 1940 Yes Yes 67 4 Braunwarth et al 1953 No Yes 55 5 Mazabraud and Girata 1957 Yes No 54 6 Heineman 1958 Yes Yes 82 7 La Porte et al 1961 Yes Yes 24 8 Lick and Viehweger 1962 Yes Yes 59 9 Mazabraud et al [2] 1967 Yes Yes NR 10 Wirth et al [3] 1971 Yes Yes NR 10 Wirth et al [4] 1971 Yes Yes 17 11 Wirth et al [4] 1971 Yes Yes 42 12 Le Jeune et al 1972 Yes Yes 42 13 Ireland et al [4] 1973 No Yes 49 14	1	Hansahan [1]	1026	Van	Vac	66
5 Mazabraud and Girata 1957 Yes No 54 6 Heineman 1958 Yes Yes 82 7 La Porte et al 1961 Yes Yes 24 8 Lick and Viehweger 1962 Yes Yes 59 9 Mazabraud et al [2] 1967 Yes Yes NR 10 Wirth et al [3] 1971 Yes Yes 17 11 Wirth et al [4] 1971 Yes Yes 17 11 Wirth et al [4] 1973 No Yes 42 13 Ireland et al [4] 1973 Yes Yes 49 14 Ircland et al [4] 1973 Yes Yes 61 15 Ireland et al [6] 1981 No Yes 28 16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 41 17 Berkhoff et al [6] 1981 No Yes 36 <						
5 Mazabraud and Girata 1957 Yes No 54 6 Heineman 1958 Yes Yes 82 7 La Porte et al 1961 Yes Yes 24 8 Lick and Viehweger 1962 Yes Yes 59 9 Mazabraud et al [2] 1967 Yes Yes NR 10 Wirth et al [3] 1971 Yes Yes 17 11 Wirth et al [4] 1971 Yes Yes 17 11 Wirth et al [4] 1973 No Yes 42 13 Ireland et al [4] 1973 No Yes 49 14 Ireland et al [4] 1973 Yes Yes 61 15 Ireland et al [6] 1981 No Yes 28 16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 41 17 Berkhoff et al [6] 1981 No Yes 36 <t< td=""><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	3					
5 Mazabraud and Girata 1957 Yes No 54 6 Heineman 1958 Yes Yes 82 7 La Porte et al 1961 Yes Yes 24 8 Lick and Viehweger 1962 Yes Yes 59 9 Mazabraud et al [2] 1967 Yes Yes NR 10 Wirth et al [3] 1971 Yes Yes 17 11 Wirth et al [4] 1971 Yes Yes 17 11 Wirth et al [4] 1973 No Yes 42 13 Ireland et al [4] 1973 Yes Yes 49 14 Ircland et al [4] 1973 Yes Yes 61 15 Ireland et al [6] 1981 No Yes 28 16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 41 17 Berkhoff et al [6] 1981 No Yes 36 <	4					
6 Heineman 1958 Yes Yes 24 7 La Porte et al 1961 Yes Yes 24 8 Lick and Viehweger 1962 Yes Yes 59 9 Mazabraud et al [2] 1967 Yes Yes NR 10 Wirth et al [3] 1971 Yes Yes 17 11 Wirth et al 1971 Yes Yes 33 12 Le Jeune et al 1972 Yes Yes 42 13 Ireland et al [4] 1973 No Yes 49 14 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 61 15 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 61 16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 41 17 Berkhoff et al [6] 1981 No Yes 36 18 Sedmak et al [7] 1983 Yes Yes 50 19 Lever and Pettingale [8] 1983 Yes No 52 20 Segev and Reiner [9] 1983 No No 74 21 Witkin et al [10] 1986 Yes No 41 22 Blasier et al 1986 Yes Yes 42 24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 Yes No 33 25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 33 26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 37 27 Gober/Nicholas [14] 1993 No Yes 70 28 Prayson and Lesson 1993 Yes Yes 50 29 Aoki et al [15] 1995 Yes No 70 31 Fujii et al [16] 1996 Yes Yes Yes 53 32 Court-Payen et al [18] 1997 Yes No 45 33 Court-Payen et al [18] 1997 Yes Yes Yes 50 34 Chyu and Sundaram 1997 Yes No 34	5					
7 La Porte et al 1961 Yes Yes 24 8 Lick and Viehweger 1962 Yes Yes 59 9 Mazabraud et al [2] 1967 Yes Yes NR 10 Wirth et al [3] 1971 Yes Yes 17 11 Wirth et al 1971 Yes Yes 17 11 Wirth et al 1971 Yes Yes 33 12 Le Jeune et al 1972 Yes Yes 42 13 Ireland et al 1973 No Yes 49 14 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 61 15 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 28 16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 28 16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 36 18 Sedmak et al [7] 1983 Yes Yes 50 19 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>						
8 Lick and Viehweger 1962 Yes Yes 59 9 Mazabraud et al [2] 1967 Yes Yes NR 10 Wirth et al [3] 1971 Yes Yes 17 11 Wirth et al 1971 Yes Yes 17 11 Wirth et al 1971 Yes Yes 33 12 Le Jeune et al 1972 Yes Yes 42 13 Ireland et al [4] 1973 No Yes 49 14 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 61 15 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 28 16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 28 16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 36 18 Sedmak et al [6] 1981 No Yes 36 18 Sedmak et al [7] 1983 Yes No 52 20						
9 Mazabraud et al [2] 1967 Yes Yes NR 10 Wirth et al [3] 1971 Yes Yes 17 11 Wirth et al 1971 Yes Yes 33 12 Le Jeune et al 1972 Yes Yes 42 13 Ireland et al [4] 1973 No Yes 49 14 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 61 15 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 61 15 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 42 16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 41 17 Berkhoff et al [6] 1981 No Yes 36 18 Sedmak et al [7] 1983 Yes Yes 50 19 Lever and Pettingale [8] 1983 Yes No 52 20 Segev and Reiner [9] 1983 No No 74 21 Witkin et al [10] 1986 Yes No 41 22 Blasier et al 1986 Yes Yes 42 24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 Yes No 33 25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 31 26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 53 27 Gober/Nicholas [14] 1993 No Yes Yes 46 30 Aoki 1995 Yes No 70 31 Fujii et al [16] 1996 Yes Yes Yes 53 32 Limouzy et al [17] 1996 Yes Yes Yes 50 34 Chyu and Sundaram 1997 Yes No 34						
10 Wirth et al [3] 1971 Yes Yes 17 11 Wirth et al 1971 Yes Yes 33 12 Le Jeune et al 1972 Yes Yes 42 13 Ireland et al 1973 No Yes 49 14 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 61 15 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 28 16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 41 17 Berkhoff et al [6] 1981 No Yes 36 18 Sedmak et al [7] 1983 Yes Yes 50 19 Lever and Pettingale [8] 1983 Yes No 52 20 Segev and Reiner [9] 1983 No No 74 21 Witkin et al [10] 1986 Yes No 41 22 Blasier et al 1986 Yes Yes 42 23 Biagini et al [11] 1987 Yes Yes 42 2						
11 Wirth et al 1971 Yes Yes 33 12 Le Jeune et al 1972 Yes Yes 42 13 Ireland et al [4] 1973 No Yes 49 14 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 61 15 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 28 16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 41 17 Berkhoff et al [6] 1981 No Yes 36 18 Sedmak et al [7] 1983 Yes Yes 50 19 Lever and Pettingale [8] 1983 Yes No 52 20 Segev and Reiner [9] 1983 No No 74 21 Witkin et al [10] 1986 Yes No 41 22 Blasier et al 1986 Yes Yes 57 23 Biagini et al [11] 1987 Yes Yes 42 24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 No No 33 <						
12 Le Jeune et al 1972 Yes Yes 42 13 Ireland et al [4] 1973 No Yes 49 14 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 61 15 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 28 16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 41 17 Berkhoff et al [6] 1981 No Yes 36 18 Sedmak et al [7] 1983 Yes Yes 50 19 Lever and Pettingale [8] 1983 Yes No 52 20 Segev and Reiner [9] 1983 No No 74 21 Witkin et al [10] 1986 Yes No 41 22 Blasier et al 1986 Yes Yes 57 23 Biagini et al [11] 1987 Yes Yes 42 24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 Yes No 33 25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 30						
13 Ireland et al [4] 1973 No Yes 49 14 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 61 15 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 28 16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 41 17 Berkhoff et al [6] 1981 No Yes 36 18 Sedmak et al [7] 1983 Yes Yes 50 19 Lever and Pettingale [8] 1983 Yes No 52 20 Segev and Reiner [9] 1983 No No 74 21 Witkin et al [10] 1986 Yes No 41 22 Blasier et al 1986 Yes Yes 57 23 Biagini et al [11] 1987 Yes Yes 42 24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 Yes No 33 25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 31 26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 53					-	
14 Ircland et al 1973 Yes Yes 61 15 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 28 16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 41 17 Berkhoff ct al [6] 1981 No Yes 36 18 Sedmak et al [7] 1983 Yes Yes 50 19 Lever and Pettingale [8] 1983 Yes No 52 20 Segev and Reiner [9] 1983 No No 74 21 Witkin et al [10] 1986 Yes No 41 22 Blasier et al 1986 Yes Yes 57 23 Biagini et al [11] 1987 Yes Yes 42 24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 Yes No 33 25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 31 26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 53						
15 Ireland et al 1973 Yes Yes 28 16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 41 17 Berkhoff ct al [6] 1981 No Yes 36 18 Sedmak et al [7] 1983 Yes Yes 50 19 Lever and Pettingale [8] 1983 Yes No 52 20 Segev and Reiner [9] 1983 No No 74 21 Witkin et al [10] 1986 Yes No 41 22 Blasier et al 1986 Yes Yes 57 23 Biagini et al [11] 1987 Yes Yes 42 24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 Yes No 33 25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 31 26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 53 27 Gober/Nicholas [14] 1993 No Yes 37 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
16 Logel [5] 1976 Yes Yes 41 17 Berkhoff et al [6] 1981 No Yes 36 18 Sedmak et al [7] 1983 Yes Yes 50 19 Lever and Pettingale [8] 1983 Yes No 52 20 Segev and Reiner [9] 1983 No No 74 21 Witkin et al [10] 1986 Yes No 41 22 Blasier et al 1986 Yes Yes 57 23 Biagini et al [11] 1987 Yes Yes 42 24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 Yes No 33 25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 31 26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 53 27 Gober/Nicholas [14] 1993 No Yes 37 28 Prayson and Lesson 1993 Yes No 36						
17 Berkhoff ct al [6] 1981 No Yes 36 18 Sedmak et al [7] 1983 Yes Yes 50 19 Lever and Pettingale [8] 1983 Yes No 52 20 Segev and Reiner [9] 1983 No No 74 21 Witkin et al [10] 1986 Yes No 41 22 Blasier et al 1986 Yes Yes 57 23 Biagini et al [11] 1987 Yes Yes 42 24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 Yes No 33 25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 31 26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 53 27 Gober/Nicholas [14] 1993 No Yes 37 28 Prayson and Lesson 1993 Yes No 36 29 Aoki et al [15] 1995 Yes Yes 53 30 Aoki 1995 Yes No 70						
18 Sedmak et al [7] 1983 Yes Yes 50 19 Lever and Pettingale [8] 1983 Yes No 52 20 Segev and Reiner [9] 1983 No No 74 21 Witkin et al [10] 1986 Yes No 41 22 Blasier et al 1986 Yes Yes 57 23 Biagini et al [11] 1987 Yes Yes 42 24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 Yes No 33 25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 31 26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 53 27 Gober/Nicholas [14] 1993 No Yes 37 28 Prayson and Lesson 1993 Yes No 36 29 Aoki et al [15] 1995 Yes Yes 46 30 Aoki 1995 Yes No 70						
19 Lever and Pettingale [8] 1983 Yes No 52 20 Segev and Reiner [9] 1983 No No 74 21 Witkin et al [10] 1986 Yes No 41 22 Blasier et al 1986 Yes Yes 57 23 Biagini et al [11] 1987 Yes Yes 42 24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 Yes No 33 25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 31 26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 53 27 Gober/Nicholas [14] 1993 No Yes 37 28 Prayson and Lesson 1993 Yes No 36 29 Aoki et al [15] 1995 Yes Yes 46 30 Aoki 1995 Yes No 70 31 Fujii et al [16] 1996 Yes Yes 53						
20 Segev and Reiner [9] 1983 No No 74 21 Witkin et al [10] 1986 Yes No 41 22 Blasier et al 1986 Yes Ycs 57 23 Biagini et al [11] 1987 Yes Yes 42 24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 Yes No 33 25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 31 26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 53 27 Gober/Nicholas [14] 1993 No Yes 37 28 Prayson and Lesson 1993 Yes No 36 29 Aoki et al [15] 1995 Yes Yes 46 30 Aoki 1995 Yes No 70 31 Fujii et al [16] 1996 Yes Yes 53 32 Limouzy et al [17] 1996 Yes No 45 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>						
21 Witkin et al [10] 1986 Yes No 41 22 Blasier et al 1986 Yes Ycs .57 23 Biagini et al [11] 1987 Yes Yes 42 24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 Yes No .33 25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 31 26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 53 27 Gober/Nicholas [14] 1993 No Yes 37 28 Prayson and Lesson 1993 Yes No 36 29 Aoki et al [15] 1995 Yes Yes 46 30 Aoki 1995 Yes No 70 31 Fujii et al [16] 1996 Yes Yes 53 32 Limouzy et al [17] 1996 Yes No 45 33 Court-Payen et al [18] 1997 Yes Yes 50 34 Chyu and Sundaram 1997 Yes No 34 <				•		
22 Blasier et al 1986 Yes Yes 57 23 Biagini et al [11] 1987 Yes Yes 42 24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 Yes No 33 25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 31 26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 53 27 Gober/Nicholas [14] 1993 No Yes 37 28 Prayson and Lesson 1993 Yes No 36 29 Aoki et al [15] 1995 Yes Yes 46 30 Aoki 1995 Yes No 70 31 Fujii et al [16] 1996 Yes Yes 53 32 Limouzy et al [17] 1996 Yes No 45 33 Court-Payen et al [18] 1997 Yes Yes 50 34 Chyu and Sundaram 1997 Yes No 34	21	Witkin et al [10]				
23 Biagini et al [11] 1987 Yes Yes 42 24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 Yes No 33 25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 31 26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 53 27 Gober/Nicholas [14] 1993 No Yes 37 28 Prayson and Lesson 1993 Yes No 36 29 Aoki et al [15] 1995 Yes Yes 46 30 Aoki 1995 Yes No 70 31 Fujii et al [16] 1996 Yes Yes 53 32 Limouzy et al [17] 1996 Yes No 45 33 Court-Payen et al [18] 1997 Yes Yes 50 34 Chyu and Sundaram 1997 Yes No 34				Yes		
24 Glass-Royal et al 1989 Yes No 33 25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 31 26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 53 27 Gober/Nicholas [14] 1993 No Yes 37 28 Prayson and Lesson 1993 Yes No 36 29 Aoki et al [15] 1995 Yes Yes 46 30 Aoki 1995 Yes No 70 31 Fujii et al [16] 1996 Yes Yes 53 32 Limouzy et al [17] 1996 Yes No 45 33 Court-Payen et al [18] 1997 Yes Yes 50 34 Chyu and Sundaram 1997 Yes No 34		Biagini et al [11]				
25 Sundaram et al [12] 1989 No No 31 26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 53 27 Gober/Nicholas [14] 1993 No Yes 37 28 Prayson and Lesson 1993 Yes No 36 29 Aoki et al [15] 1995 Yes Yes 46 30 Aoki 1995 Yes No 70 31 Fujii et al [16] 1996 Yes Yes 53 32 Limouzy et al [17] 1996 Yes No 45 33 Court-Payen et al [18] 1997 Yes Yes 50 34 Chyu and Sundaram 1997 Yes No 34	24		1989	Yes		
26 Glanoutsos et al [13] 1990 No Yes 53 27 Gober/Nicholas [14] 1993 No Yes 37 28 Prayson and Lesson 1993 Yes No 36 29 Aoki et al [15] 1995 Yes Yes 46 30 Aoki 1995 Yes No 70 31 Fujii et al [16] 1996 Yes Yes 53 32 Limouzy et al [17] 1996 Yes No 45 33 Court-Payen et al [18] 1997 Yes Yes 50 34 Chyu and Sundaram 1997 Yes No 34	25		1989	No	No	31
27 Gober/Nicholas [14] 1993 No Yes 37 28 Prayson and Lesson 1993 Yes No 36 29 Aoki et al [15] 1995 Yes Yes 46 30 Aoki 1995 Yes No 70 31 Fujii et al [16] 1996 Yes Yes 53 32 Limouzy et al [17] 1996 Yes No 45 33 Court-Payen et al [18] 1997 Yes Yes 50 34 Chyu and Sundaram 1997 Yes No 34	26		1990	No	Yes	
28 Prayson and Lesson 1993 Yes No 36 29 Aoki et al [15] 1995 Yes Yes 46 30 Aoki 1995 Yes No 70 31 Fujii et al [16] 1996 Yes Yes 53 32 Limouzy et al [17] 1996 Yes No 45 33 Court-Payen et al [18] 1997 Yes Yes 50 34 Chyu and Sundaram 1997 Yes No 34		Gober/Nicholas [14]	1993	No	Yes	37
30 Aoki 1995 Yes No 70 31 Fujii et al [16] 1996 Yes Yes 53 32 Limouzy et al [17] 1996 Yes No 45 33 Court-Payen et al [18] 1997 Yes Yes 50 34 Chyu and Sundaram 1997 Yes No 34	28		1993	Yes	No	
31 Fujii et al [16] 1996 Yes Yes 53 32 Limouzy et al [17] 1996 Yes No 45 33 Court-Payen et al [18] 1997 Yes Yes 50 34 Chyu and Sundaram 1997 Yes No 34	29	Aoki et al [15]	1995	Yes	Yes	46
32 Limouzy et al [17] 1996 Yes No 45 33 Court-Payen et al [18] 1997 Yes Yes 50 34 Chyu and Sundaram 1997 Yes No 34	30	Aoki	1995	Yes	No	70
33 Court-Payen et al [18] 1997 Yes Yes 50 34 Chyu and Sundaram 1997 Yes No 34	31	Fujii et al [16]	1996	Yes	Yes	53
34 Chyu and Sundaram 1997 Yes No 34	32	Limouzy et al [17]	1996	Yes	No	45
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Court-Payen et al [18]	1997	Yes	Yes	50
35 Present case 1998 Yes Yes 44		Chyu and Sundaram	1997	Yes	No	34
	35	Present case	1998	Yes	Yes	44

which occurred in two patients with the syndrome (cases 9 and 21). Both involved the tibia [2,10]. Osteosarcoma is a rare complication of fibrous dysplasia and usually occurs in under 1% of cases [21]. The two cases represent close to 6%, and this may be more than simply fortuitous.

One patient (15) had developed a fibrosarcoma of the breast 26 years prior to Mazabraud's syndrome [4] and another (17) had developed cancer of the colon [6] 3 years prior to developing the syndrome.

These two cases are probably not related to the syndrome. Of interest is case 19 [8] who, in addition to Mazabraud's syndrome, had Albright's syndrome and hypophosphatemic osteomalacia. Removal of the solitary myxoma had no effect on the

osteomalacia. The nature of the myxoma has been much discussed in the literature. Since a true capsule has been reported to be absent in many cases, this process may be reactive rather than neoplastic. Many believe that the myxoma is an extraosseous manifestation of fibrous dysplasia, which may not be apparent for many years.

The myxomas tend to be congregated in relation to the bone most extensively involved, and a local mechanical factor may play a part in the etiology. Since the myxomas are benign, conservative management is indicated. If pain is significant or the tumor very large then the myxoma(s) should be removed. As in this case, the smaller lesions are safely left and observed.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to Larry Dormer and Stephen Bright for their skilful search of the literature and also for making available copies of papers in several obscure journals. They also thank Ms. Cláudia Ehlers Peixoto for typing the manuscript.

References

- Henschen F. Fallvon. Ostitis fibrosa mit multiplen tumoren in der umgebenden muskurature, Verh Dtsch Ges Pathol. 1926; 21: 93.
- Mazabraud A, Semat P, Rose R. A propos de l'association de fibromixomes des tissus mous à la dysplasie fibreuse des os. Presse Méd 1967; 75: 2223– 2228.
- Wirth A, Leavitt D, Enzinger FM. Multiple intramuscular myxomas: another extraskeletal manifestation of fibrous dysplasia. Cancer 1971; 27: 1167–1173.
- Ireland DCR, Soule EH, Ivins JC. Myxoma of somatic soft tissues: a report of 58 patients, 3 with multiple tumors. Mayo Clinic Proc 1973; 48: 401.
- Logel RJ. Recurrent intramuscular myxoma associated with Albright's syndrome. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1976; 58: 565–568.
- Berkhoff, WBC, ter Bruggen Hugenholtz RI, Ingenhoes R. A patient with multiple intramuscular myxomas with fibrous dysplasia. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd 1981; 125: 1460–1462.
- Sedmak DD, Hart WR, Belhobek GH, Marks KE. Massive intramuscular myxoma associated with fibrous dysplasia of bone. Cleve Clin Q 1983; 50: 469–472.
- Lever EG, Pettingale RW. Albrights syndrome associated with a soft tissue myxoma and hypophosphatemic osteomalacia: report of a case and a review of the literature. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1983; 65: 621–626.
- Segev Z, Reiner S. Intramuscular myxoma associated with fibrous dysplasia. Harefuah 1985; 16: 493–494.
- Witkin GB, Guilford WB, Siegal GP.
 Osteogenic sarcoma and soft tissue
 myxoma in a patient with fibrous
 dysplasia and hemoglobins J Baltimore
 and S. Clin Orthop 1986; 204: 245–
 252.
- Biagini R, Ruggieri P, Boriani S, Picci P. The Mazabraud syndrome: case report and review of literature. Ital J Orthop Traumatol 1987; 1: 105– 111.
- Sundaram M, McDonald DJ, Merenda G. Intramuscular myxoma: a rare but important association with fibrous dysplasia of bone. AJR 1989; 153: 107– 108.

- Glanoutsos MP, Thompson JF, Marsden FW. Mazabrauds syndrome: intramuscular myxoma associated with fibrous dysplasia of bone. Aust N Z J Surg 1990; 10: 825–828.
- Gober GA, Nicholas RW. Case report 800: skeletal fibrous dysplasia associated with intramuscular myxoma (Mazabraud's syndrome). Skeletal Radiol 1993; 6: 452–455.
- Aoki T, Koulo H, Hisaoka M, Hashimoto H, Nakata H, Sakai A. Intramuscular myxoma with fibrous dysplasia: a report of two cases with a review of the literature. Pathol Int 1995; 45: 165-171.
- Fujii K, Inove M, Araki Y, Ishida O. Multiple intramuscular myxomas associated with polyostotic fibrous dysplasia. Eur J Radiol 1996; 2: 152–154.
- Limouzy F, Durroux R, Chiron P, Tricoire JL, Puget J, Utheza G. Fibrous dysplasia associated with intramuscular myxoma. Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot 1996; 82: 336–339.
- Court-Payen M, Ingemann Jensen L, Bjerregaard B, Schwarz Lausten G, Skjoldbye B. Intramuscular myxoma and fibrous dysplasia of bone: Mazabraud's syndrome. Acta Radiol 1997; 38: 368-371.

- Chyu P, Sundaram M. Mazabraud's disease. AJR 1997; 169(e3). URL: http://www.arrs.org/ajr/caseofweek/
- Mietenen M, Hockerstedt K, Reitamo J, Tofferman S. Intramuscular myxoma: a clinicopathologic study of twenty-three cases. Am J Clin Pathol 1985; 84: 265– 272.
- Rodenberg J, Jensen OM, Keller J, Nielsen OS, Bunger C, Jurik AG. Fibrous dysplasia of the spine, costae and hemipelvis with sarcomatous transformation. Skeletal Radiol 1996; 25: 682– 684